

26th August 1925]

(c)—

	College department.	School department.
Brahmans ... ..	5	18
Non-Brahman Hindus ... ..	2	3
Christians ... ..	1	Nil.
Muhammadans ... ..	Nil.	Nil.
Other communities ... ..	Nil.	Nil.

(d) Does not arise.

Mr. R. VEERIAN :—" I have got the letter written by the Principal of the Government College. He says :—" It is regretted that Vellingiri Pandaram cannot be admitted in the First Form ". After making representations to the Principal and to the higher authorities, the Principal came to the conclusion that the boy might be admitted. I want to know why that Adi-Dravida boy was not admitted originally. The Principal sent a note asking me to send the boy after making a good deal of agitation. Why should that be the case ? "

The hon. Rao Bahadur Sir A. P. PATRO :—" The Principal thought that the full complement of the class was there and that he could not admit any more boys. But afterwards some of the boys who were admitted did not turn up and there were vacancies; and the Principal was glad to admit the Adi-Dravida boy."

Mr. R. VEERIAN :—" He did not assign any reason in the note sent to me. May I take it that he coolly declined to admit the boy owing to caste prohibition ? "

The hon. Rao Bahadur Sir A. P. PATRO :—" I am not able to make the inference that it is owing to caste prejudice that the boy was excluded from the school."

## UNSTARRED QUESTIONS.

### Local Boards and Municipal Councils.

#### *Affairs of the Tiruturaipundi taluk board.*

470 Q.—Mr. T. ADINARAYANA CHETTIYAR : Will the hon. the Minister for Local Self-Government be pleased to state—

(a) whether the Government are aware of the existence of an obstructionist party in the Tiruturaipundi taluk board;

(b) whether it is true that by virtue of its numerical majority this party obstructs all business at the taluk board meetings solely with a view to ousting the present incumbent of the presidentship of the taluk board;

(c) whether in the course of their obstruction these members were reported by the president of the taluk board to have behaved in a very objectionable and unseemly manner;

(d) if so, whether Government propose to interfere in the matter; and

(e) if so, what action has been or is being taken thereon?

A.—(a) & (b) The Government have no information.

(c) to (e) The questions do not arise.



[26th August 1925]

*Construction of roads, etc., in the coast villages of Tonse East,  
Badanidiyuru, etc., in Udipi taluk.*

471 Q.—Mr. J. A. SALDANHA : Will the hon. the Minister for Local Self-Government be pleased to ascertain and state—

(a) how many roads there are for traffic in the contiguous villages of Tonse East, Badanidiyuru, Kelarkabettu, Tenkanidiyuru and Kodavuru in the Udipi taluk ;

(b) what is the population of these villages as compared with the rest of the taluk ;

(c) whether it is a fact that these villages abutting as they do or being close to the seashore with a large fishing industry have long been in need of roads and footpaths and the demands of the people for them have been neglected by the taluk board ; and

(d) whether it is a fact that an excessive amount of the income of the taluk board has been spent on roads and other improvements in the town of Udipi to the detriment of the interests of the residents, fishermen and traders of the abovementioned coast village ?

A.—(a) The Government understand that Kodavuru is connected with Udipi by the Udipi-Malpe road and Tonse East by the coast road which also abuts the village of Kelarkabettu and that in Badanidiyuru and Tenkanidiyuru there are only tracks and footpaths.

(b) The population of these villages is 14,182 and that of the whole taluk 209,909.

(c) Kodavuru is said to be the only one of these villages with a large fishing industry. The Government are given to understand that provision has been made by the taluk board for the improvement of access to these villages.

(d) Of the 96 miles of road under the Udipi taluk board, Udipi town has only 5 miles.

### Fisheries.

*Control of the fisheries in the Nilgiris district.*

472 Q.—Mr. J. A. SALDANHA : Will the hon. the Minister for Development be pleased to state—

(a) under what authority, enactment of legislature or otherwise the fisheries in the Nilgiris district are controlled ;

(b) what powers the Collector of the district and the District Forest Officer, Nilgiris, exercise in connexion with the control of the fisheries in any capacity, and for whom or which body ;

(c) what staff and establishment are employed and with what salaries and allowances ;

(d) what is the financial position of the working of the fisheries and what control Government exercise over it ;

(e) (i) whether there is such an officer as Inspector of Fisheries in the Nilgiris district ;

(ii) whether he is treated as Government servant and subject to Public Officers' Conduct Rules ;

(iii) how he is paid and out of what fund ;



26th August 1925]

(c)—

	College department.	School department.
Brahmans ... ..	5	18
Non-Brahman Hindus ... ..	2	3
Christians ... ..	1	Nil.
Muhammadans ... ..	Nil.	Nil.
Other communities ... ..	Nil.	Nil.

(d) Does not arise.

Mr. R. VEERIAN :—" I have got the letter written by the Principal of the Government College. He says :—" It is regretted that Vellingiri Pandaram cannot be admitted in the First Form ". After making representations to the Principal and to the higher authorities, the Principal came to the conclusion that the boy might be admitted. I want to know why that Adi-Dravida boy was not admitted originally. The Principal sent a note asking me to send the boy after making a good deal of agitation. Why should that be the case ? "

The hon. Rao Bahadur Sir A. P. PATRO :—" The Principal thought that the full complement of the class was there and that he could not admit any more boys. But afterwards some of the boys who were admitted did not turn up and there were vacancies; and the Principal was glad to admit the Adi-Dravida boy."

Mr. R. VEERIAN :—" He did not assign any reason in the note sent to me. May I take it that he coolly declined to admit the boy owing to caste prohibition ? "

The hon. Rao Bahadur Sir A. P. PATRO :—" I am not able to make the inference that it is owing to caste prejudice that the boy was excluded from the school."

## UNSTARRED QUESTIONS.

### Local Boards and Municipal Councils.

#### *Affairs of the Tiruturaipundi taluk board.*

470 Q.—Mr. T. ADINARAYANA CHETTIYAR : Will the hon. the Minister for Local Self-Government be pleased to state—

(a) whether the Government are aware of the existence of an obstructionist party in the Tiruturaipundi taluk board;

(b) whether it is true that by virtue of its numerical majority this party obstructs all business at the taluk board meetings solely with a view to ousting the present incumbent of the presidentship of the taluk board;

(c) whether in the course of their obstruction these members were reported by the president of the taluk board to have behaved in a very objectionable and unseemly manner;

(d) if so, whether Government propose to interfere in the matter; and

(e) if so, what action has been or is being taken thereon?

A.—(a) & (b) The Government have no information.

(c) to (e) The questions do not arise.